IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ALBERTO ROMERO, ISRAEL PINA, MIGUEL FLORES, and ISRAEL BELLO, and JESUS AGUILAR, on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated persons, known and unknown,

Plaintiffs,

No. 1:15-CV- 01347

Magistrate: Hon. Susan Cox

v.

ACTIVE ROOFING COMPANY, INC., and JOSEPH CAROLAN

Defendant.

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

Now come Plaintiffs Alberto Romero, Israel Pina, Miguel Flores, Israel Bello, and Jesus Aguilar ("Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and move this Court for Final Approval of the Parties' Settlement. In support of their Motion for Final Approval, the Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. On August 26, 2016, this Court granted Preliminary Approval to the Parties' Four Hundred Forty Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$445,000.00) settlement of Plaintiffs' claims on behalf of themselves and a class of other similarly situated employees of Defendants (Dkt. No. 102) for arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq*. the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS 105/1, *et seq*., ("IMWL"); and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1 *et seq*. ("IWPCA").
- 2. Final approval is appropriate where the court determines that a settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable. *Synfuel Techs., Inc. v. DHL Express (USA), Inc.*, 463 F.3d 646, 652

Case: 1:15-cv-01347 Document #: 104 Filed: 11/18/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:903

(7th Cir. 2005). In determining whether a settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, courts in

the Seventh Circuit consider a variety of factors including:

a. the strength of plaintiffs' case, weighed against the settlement offer;

b. the complexity, length, and expense of further litigation;

c. the presence of collusion between the parties;

d. the opinion of competent counsel;

e. the reaction of class members to the proposal; and

f. the stage of proceedings and discovery completed.

In re Mexico Money Transfer Litig., 164 F. Supp. 2d 1002, 1014 (N.D. Ill. 2000) (citing

Donovan v. Estate of Fitzsimmons, 778 F.2d 298, 308 (7th Cir. 1985)). As explained in detail in

the Parties' supporting memorandum of law, the Parties' Stipulation of Settlement here meets

these factors and should be approved.

WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that the Court enter a Final Order

approving the Parties' Stipulation of Settlement.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: November 18, 2016

s/Alvar Ayala

Alvar Ayala Christopher J. Williams

Workers' Law Office, PC

53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 701

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 795-9121

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

2